

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/632,857	NACHENBERG, CAREY
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	PETER POLTORAK	2134

**All Participants:**

(1) PETER POLTORAK.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jie Zhang.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 February 2008

**Time:** 10:00

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 31 and 32

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed claim language of claims 1 and 31 and particular claim 32 and amendments pertaining the subject of allowability. The amendment presented by applicant on 2/27/08 is consistent with the discussed subject matter.*

*Listed date and time of the interview may not necessarily be accurate but it is stated as best recalled.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kambiz Zand/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2134

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

